JOHN E. REXINE, COLGATE UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF THE CLASSICS

DAIMON IN CLASSICAL GREEK LITERATURE

From the very beginning, it can be pointed out that the word $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ reflects the dynamism of the Greek vocabulary operating throughout the various periods of Greek literature. There is, of course, no single English equivalent. It is a word of tremendous range and significance. In its way, it reflects the dynamic range of Greek literature and thought. It is a convenient word for poetry since the one form satisfies both the masculine and feminine genders, and it has a vocative which $\theta \epsilon \delta \zeta$ does not $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is a word of literature rather than cult. It is a word that is more generalized and less personalized than $\theta \epsilon \delta \zeta$. A systematic examination of the various Greek authors would tend to show that no ONE meaning was fixed upon the word until Christian times. The Christian vocabulary used to it to mean "an evil spirit", and in Modern Greek $\delta \delta a i \mu \omega v$ means simply "The Devil". In the authors to be examined, we shall see that the word has a variety of meanings.

Though Homer will not be discussed at great length, some notice of him is unavoidable since all Greek literature must for us begin with Homer. A brief discussion of Homer's use of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ will follow shortly. The observation has been made that even though the Homeric poet repeatedly refers to anthropomorphic gods, the "cause of events" is not assigned by him to a specific $\theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$, but rather to a $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ or a $\theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$ $\tau \iota \varsigma$ or Zeus. Daimon, generally is considered as a supernatural power rather than a personalized god, but also as a power exerting influence over the fortunes and lives of mankind3. The word even approaches the meaning of fate in such expressions as $\sigma \delta v$ $\delta a i \mu \omega v$, $\tau a \delta a i \nu v$ $\delta a i \nu$

People also refer to a good and evil *daimon* that follows one through life. The term is not generally applied to cult gods, but to less definite gods.

To categorize generally⁴, we might say that an investigation of classical Greek literature would lead to the discovery of the following meanings for $\delta a \dot{\mu} \omega v$: (1) The use of the word to signify a god or goddess or individual gods and goddesses. This would be a rarer use of the term; (2) more frequently, we would find it used of the Divine Power (the Latin numen⁵). This would signify a superhuman force, impersonal in itself, but regularly belonging to a person (a god of some kind); (3) The Power controlling the destiny of individuals and then one's fortune or lot; (4) it could be further specialized as the good or evil genius of a person or family; (5) a more special use would reveal the $\delta a \dot{\mu} u v \varepsilon \zeta$ as tutelary deities, the "souls" of the men of the golden age in Hesiod; (6) general spiritual or semi-divine creatures who are less than the gods, but intermediate between the gods and men cf. Plato; (7) finally, "devil", "bad spirit" in the Christianized sense (of course, this last is not classical).

The Indo-European philologist would tell us that $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ comes from the IE *dai -

Athena manifests herself to Achilles who is contemplating whether to slay Agamemnon or curb himself. Athena assuages him and Achilles consents to obey: (1. 218) $\delta \zeta \, \kappa \varepsilon \, \theta \varepsilon o i \zeta \, \varepsilon \pi i \pi \varepsilon i \theta \eta \tau ai$, $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \, \tau \, i \varepsilon \kappa \lambda v o v \, a v \tau o v .$ "And Athena forthwith departed to Olympus, to the other gods in the palace of aegis-bearing Zeus". (222) On this last line (22) the scholiast explains $\delta a i \mu \omega v \, \text{etymologically}$: $o i \tau \omega \zeta \, \delta a i \mu o v \varepsilon \zeta \, \kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon i \, \tau o i \zeta \, \delta \varepsilon \, \delta$

Plato, in his $Cratylus^6$, suggests a similar etymology for $\delta a i \mu \omega v$. But to continue, our Indo - European philologist would also compare $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ with the Norse "time", "time", "hour", Anglo-Saxon "tima", English "time", Old High German *"tī-man», "period" (*dī-) and would explain $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ as coming from an earlier * $\delta a \sigma t - \mu \omega v$ Latin *lasi (cf. Sabine * dasi-) in the Latin lases. The Latin plural more familiar to us as lares, larium and larum? Thus, we would have an IE etymological connection of the word $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ with a Latin word with which one of the meanings of the Greek $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ occasionally corresponds.

Enough has now been said to serve as a general introduction to the use and meaning of the word $\delta ai\mu\omega\nu$. But what about its use generally in Homer, and specifically in Hesiod ant the Pre-Socratics? We shall see that the modern conceptual mind would like to reduce the word to a single, clear-cut meaning, but that the pre-conceptual and semiconceptual minds will refuse to be strait-jacketed. We shall be able to draw up a few general categories, but no more. The word tends to slide easily from one meaning to another. We shall note that Homer uses the word fairly frequently; that Hesiod presents the word rarely and bafflingly; and that the use of the word in the Pre-Socratics is limited, but can be fairly adequately defined.

The discussion of the use and meaning of the word $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ in Homer does not intend or pretend to be exhaustive, but merely suggestive. In the Homeric poems, $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ emerges in at least three different senses, one oftentimes merging into another: (1) it is used with reference to a specific god or goddess;(2) with reference to a divine power, or divinity (cf. Latin *Numen*) unspecified and unnamed but potent; (3) with reference to one's fate, lot or destiny, good or evil. The most usual sense in Homer is (2), to which are assigned events not referred to any particular god. The most numerous instances show that *daimon* brings or is the CAUSE of bringing upon man something that is contrary to his will, purpose, or expectations. The adjective derived from $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$, $\delta \alpha \mu \dot{\omega} v u c$ generally has the notion of blame more or less saliently attached to it and signifies something wonderful, incomprehensible, irrational.

The word $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ in the first meaning may refer to any god or goddess, as in *Iliad* XIX, where Thetis brings Achilles his new arms and an assembly is called in which Achilles publicly renounces his wrath against Agamemnon. Agamemnon apologizes for his actions in seizing Briseis, claiming that he was possessed of Ate when he did the deed. Odysseus bids Agamemnon to "be more righteous hereafter; for no shame it is that a man that is king should make amends if he have been the first to deal violently".

Then Agamemnon replies:

χαίρω σεῦ, Λαερτιάδη, τὸν μῦθον ἀκούσας ἐν μοίρη γὰρ πάντα διίκεο καὶ κατέλεξας. ταῦτα δ' ἐγὼν ἐθέλω ὀμόσαι. κέλεται δὲ με θυμός, οὐδ' ἐπιορκήσω πρὸς δαίμονος. (185-188)

 $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ here means that Agamemnon will not forswear himself by any god: no specific god is named. But there is also another point that is clear. There is no moral connotation involved in swearing by a god. However, to swear falsely by a god is dangerous. It is using the god's name in vain. It's like signing his name to a bad check. So Agamemnon is here careful to avoid making any mistakes. Agamemnon will swear by a god, but he doesn't name him here.

Another example of category (1) in which the plural refers to $\theta \varepsilon o \hat{\nu} \hat{\nu} \hat{\nu}$ ("the other gods") is the one already cited above (p. 3) in *Iliad* I. 922 where Athena returns to the palace of Zeus and to the other gods. ($\mu \varepsilon \tau \hat{\alpha} \delta \alpha i \mu o \nu \alpha \zeta \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \zeta$) where $\delta \alpha i \mu o \nu \varepsilon \zeta$ clearly refers to the Olympians.

In Book III. 420 of the *Iliad* $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ equals $\Theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$ and in this case a specific $\theta \epsilon \acute{a}$, that is Aphrodite. In answer to a proposal that the outcome of the war between the two sides, Trojan and Greek, be decided by a single combat between Menelaus and Paris, an agreement is reached that the winner keep Helen. Paris is at first reluctant, but Hector rebukes him for his hesitation, and Paris finally consents. The fight turns unfavorably for Paris but before any fatal blow can be struck against him, Aphrodite rescues him, and transports him miraculously to his chamber. There Aphrodite prepares him for love. Helen reproaches Aphrodite for enticing her to love with Aphrodite, but Aphrodite gets furious and says: (Il 414-420)

μὴ μ' ἔρεθε, σχετλίη, μὴ χωσαμένη σε μεθείω, τὼς δὲ σ' ἀπεχθήρω ὡς νῦν ἔκπαγλα φίλησα, μέσσω δ' ἀμφοτέρων μητίσομαι ἔχθεα λυγρά, Τρώων καὶ Δαναῶν, σὺ δὲ κεν κακὸν οἶτον ὅληαι.

"Ως ἔφατ', ἔδεισεν δ' Ἑλένη Διὸς ἐκγεγαυῖα, βῆ δὲ κατασχομένη ἐανῷ ἀργῆτι φαεινῷ σιγῆ, πάσας δὲ Τρῳὰς λάθεν· ἦρχε δὲ δαίμων.

Helen was afraid and obeyed, "and the goddess $(\delta a i \mu \omega v)$ led the way". The goddess specifically referred to is Aphrodite. $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is here not only metrically convenient, but the single form suffices for either the feminine or masculine gender (here, of course, it is feminine). It is noteworthy that $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ may be used for $\theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$ but never $\theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$ for $\delta a i \mu \omega v^8$.

In Iliad XVII at lines 98-9, we have the words of Menelaus:

όππότ' ἀνὴρ ἐθέλη πρὸς δαίμονα φωτὶ μάχεσθαι, ὄν κε θεὸς τιμᾶ, τάχα οἱ μέγα πῆμα κυλίσθη The struggle in Book XVII is over the body of Patroclus. Menelaus distinguishes himself in preventing the Trojans from getting it. But when Hector enters the fray, Menelaus exclaims the words quoted above: "When a man would fight against his lot with another whom a god honors, then swiftly on him rolls a great woe". Here the $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is conditioned by the action and is equated with $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \pi \eta \mu a$ However, it seems easily to fit into category (2) or (3): it probably originally meant "against divinity" in the line quoted and since it is beyond human power to contend with Divine Power, an individual's lot.

A few lines below, in lines 103-105, Menelaus says:

ἄμφω κ' αὖτις ἰόντες ἐπιμνησαίμεθα χάρμης καὶ πρὸς δαίμονά περ, εἴ πως ἐρυσαίμεθα νεκρὸν Πηλείδη 'Αχιλῆι· κακῶν δὲ κε φέρτατον εἴη

This Menelaus says after he has explained that none of the Greeks would be angry with him for giving way to Hector because he has the gods on his side, but that he and Ajax could save the body of Patroclus for Achilles even against Divinity $(\pi\rho\delta\varsigma \,\delta\alpha i\mu\nu\nu\dot{\alpha}\,$ $\pi\epsilon\rho$). Remember, Diomedes by his great fortitude wreaked havoc on the Trojans and even wounded Aphrodite and Ares with the assistance of Athena (Book V). Here $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma\,$ $\delta\alpha i\mu\nu a$ seems to blend from the meaning "against divinity" to "lot" or destiny", since the $\delta\alpha i\mu\nu a$ is something beyond an individual human being's control. It is not in Menelaus' power to go against divinity, but with Ajax he would get Patroclus' body in spite of Divine Power. The chief sense of this passage would place here in category (2).

In Iliad XI. 792, Nestor speaks to Patroclus of the command of his father Menoitios who had said to him: "my child of lineage is Achilles higher than you, and thou art older but in might he is better far. But do thou speak gently, and show him what things he should do, and he will obey thee to his profit". Nestor bids Patroclus to try and persuade Achilles to return to battle saying: (Il. 792-793)

τὶς δ' οἶδ' εἴ κεν οἱ σὺν δαίμονι θυμὸν ὀρίναις παρειπών; ἀγαθὴ δὲ παραίφασίς ἐστιν ἐταίρου.

With the help of Divine Power, with the favor of the gods, Achilles might be persuaded by Patroclus. The $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ here is undefined, but powerful. Category (2).

In *Odyssey* V. 396, after some time with Calypso, Odysseus sets out on a raft, but Poseidon spots him and stirs up the sea violently. Brave as he is, Odysseus fears death. Luckily he sights land, a sight most welcome to Odysseus: (Il. 394-399).

ώς δ' ὅτ' ἄν ἀσπάσιος βίοτος παίδεσσι φανήη πατρός, ὅς ἐν νούσῳ κεῖται κρατέρ' ἄλγεα πάσχων δηρὸν τηκόμενος στυγερὸς δὲ οί ἔχραε δαίμων, ἀσπάσιον δ' ἄρα τὸν γε θεοὶ κακότητος ἔλυσαν ῶς Ὀδυσῆ' ἀσπαστὸν ἐείσατο γαῖα καὶ ὅλη, νῆχε δ' ἐπειγόμενος ποσὶν ἠπείρου ἐπιβῆναι.

The $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is here described as a hateful deity because of "his" consequences. Divinity is assigned as the cause of the illness.

In Odyssey X. 64, Aeolus asks Odysseus:

πῶς ἦλθες, Ὀδυσεῦ; τίς τοι κακὸς ἔχραε δαίμων;

An evil divinity has returned Odysseus and his men to Aeolus. While Odysseus was sleeping, his men, out of curiosity and foolishness, had opened the bag of winds that Aeolus had given Odysseus. We are still in Gategory (2) but verging very closely on category (3) here.

In *Odyssey* XI. 61, we are definitely in Gategory (3). Odysseus meets Elpenor in his visit to the Kingdom of the Dead. Odysseus wonders how Elpenor got there so quickly to which Elpenor answers:

διογενες Λαερτιάδη, πολυμήχαν' 'Οδυσσεῦ, ἀσέ με δαίμονος αἰσα κακὴ καὶ ἀθέσφατος οἰνος.

it was not merely the wine that made him lose his balance, but also a piece of bad fortune. $\delta a i \mu o v \circ \varsigma a i \sigma a \kappa a \kappa n i$ is a periphrastic way of referring to a $\kappa a \kappa o \circ \delta a i \mu o v \circ \delta a$

From here let us return to another illustration from the *Iliad*, this time a final one from Book VIII. 166. In Book VIII, Zeus summons an assembly of the gods and instruckts them to cease interceding on either side. His purpose is to keep his promise to Thetis by granting victory to the Trojans when the battle is resumed. This promise is carried out. The morale of the Greek troops declines sharply and even Diomedes retreats. It is at this point that Hector threatens Diomedes with destruction: (Il. 161-166).

Τυδεΐδη, περὶ μὲν σε τίον Δαναοὶ ταχύπωλοι εξορη τε κρέασίν τε ἰδὲ πλείοις δεπάεσσινοῦν δὲ σ' ἀτιμήσουσιν γυναικὸς ἄρ' ἀντὶ τέτυξο. ἔρρε, κακὴ γλήνη, ἐπεὶ οὐκ εἴξαντος ἐμεῖο πύργων ἡμετέρων ἐπιβήσεαι, οὐδὲ γυναῖκας ἄζεις ἐν νήεσσιν πάρος τοι δαίμονα δώσω.

 $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ here is an evil lot or destiny = death.

These illustrations should be sufficient to give some idea of the use of in Homer. The adjective $\delta \alpha u \dot{\omega} v i o \varsigma$ generally suggests something wonderful, incomprehensible, irrational. It indicates that something is under superhuman influence. Five of meaning may be distinguished in Homer⁹, particularly in its vocative use: (1) in stern reproach; (2) in more or less stern remonstrance; or in tender or gentle remonstrance; (3) implying folly or senselessness; (4) indicating a degree of wonder, the person addressed himself being superior to what his outward appearance would indicate; (5) merely as a term of affectionate address, with all sense of connection with the original $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ lost.

This brief survey with a limited number of Homeric illustrations serves to suggest

the three sense of $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ in Homer: (1) reference to a specific god or goddess or an unnamed god or goddess; (2) Divine power, divinity, power that controls human circumstances; (3) one's personal fate or lot, good or evil. This neat little scheme, worked out by the conceptual mind, does not mean that every time the word $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ occurs that it will fit exactly into any one of the preceding categories. It merely suggests a general line of approach. It would be more natural to expect one meaning to blend into another in this pre-conceptual period. Some of the examples cited indicate just that.

Hesiod presents many baffling and unsolved problems. The use and meaning of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ simply add another one. The occurrences of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ or words derived from it in Hesiod are rare. This might seem unusual, particularly in the case of the *Theogony*, but there the word $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ occurs only once, and then in the accusative form $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ (Theogony. 991): "And Eos bare to Tithonus brazencrested Memnon, King of the Ethiopians, and the Lord Emathian. And to Cephalus she bare a splendid son, strong Phaethon, a man like the gods, whom, when he was young boy in the tender flower of glorious youth with childish thoughts, laughter-loving Aphrodite seized and caught up and made a keeper of her shrine by night, a divine spirit" (vs. 984-991).

τὸν ρα νέον τέρεν ἄνθος ἔχοντ' ἐρικυδέος ἤβης παῖδ' ἀταλὰ φρονέοντα φιλομμειδὴς 'Αφροδίτη ώρτ' ἀναρεψαμένη, καί μιν ζαθέοις ἐνὶ νηοῖς νηοπόλον νύχιον ποιήσατο, δαίμονα δῖον.

Further, he occurs in the section of the *Theogony* generally called the *Hpwoyovia* of course, according to the myth, Tithonus¹¹ was a mortal. Consequently, it seems safest to say that here $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ with $\delta \tilde{\iota} o \varsigma$ means that Phaethon was a demi-god, a hero plus (the $\delta \tilde{\iota} o \varsigma$ being the plus factor).

The *Theogony* contains once only the derivative $\delta \alpha \iota \mu \delta v \iota o \varsigma$ in the elided vocative form (1.655). It is contained in Cottus' reply to Zeus' exhortation to resist and defeat the Titans. Zeus is addressed as $\delta \alpha \iota \mu \delta v \iota'$. The usual translation is "Divine one" and this seems to be acceptable, meaning perhaps simply "sir" in divine company: (II. 654-663).

"Ως φάτο τὸν δ' ἐζαῦτις ἀμείβετο Κόττος ἀμύμων Δαιμόνι', οὐκ ἀδάητα πιφαύσκεαι ἀλλὰ καὶ αὐτοὶ ἴδομεν, ὅ τοι περὶ μὲν πραπίδες, περὶ δ' ἐστὶ νόημα, ἀλκτὴρ δ' ἀθανάτοισιν ἀρῆς γένεο κρυεροῖο. σῆσι δ' ἐπιφροσύνησιν ὑπὸ ζόφου ἠερόεντος ἄψορρον δεῦρ' αὖτις ἀμειλίκτων ὑπὸ δεσμῶν ηλύθομεν Κρόνου ύιὲ ἄναζ, ἀνάελπτα παθόντες. τῷ καὶ νῦν ἀτενεῖ τε νόῳ καὶ ἐπίφρονι βουλῆ ρυσόμεθα κράτος ύμὸν ἐν αἰνῆ δηιοτῆτι μαρνάμενοι Τιτῆσιν ἀνὰ κρατερὰς υσμίνας.

In the Works and Days we come across the marvellous Hesiodic description of the Five Ages: Golden, Silver, Bronze, Heroic, and Iron. At the end of the description of the Golden Age, we are told that when this generation of men of the Golden Age had passed away, they were called goodly spirits who dwell on the earth, delivering men from harm, wandering over the earth clothed in mist and keeping watch on judgments and cruel deeds, givers of wealth. This passage perhaps illustrates the most unusually specialized meaning of in Greek literature and states and explains most explicitly what here means: (Il. 121-126).

Αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ δὴ τοῦτο γένος κατὰ γαῖ ἐκάλυψε, τοὶ μὲν δαίμονες ἀγνοὶ ἐπιχθόνιοι καλέονται ἐσθλοί, ἀλεξίκακοι, φύλακες θνητῶν ἀνθρώπων οἴ ρα φυλάσσουσίν τε δίκας καὶ σχέτλια ἔργα ἡέρα ἐσσάμενοι πάντη φοιτῶντες ἐπ' αἶαν, πλουτοδόται¹².

Line 314 of the Works and Days contains a proverbial saying whose translation has caused much difficulty and which has been rejected or bracketed by some editors: $\delta a i \mu o v \delta$ o $\delta c \epsilon \eta \sigma \theta a$, $\tau \delta \epsilon \rho \gamma \delta \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \delta u \epsilon v v v$.

However, the general sense seems clear: "And whatever be your *lot*, work is best for you». This meaning for $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ here is substantiated by Homeric usage, category (3). Thus far, we have found the word $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ used in Hesiod in three different ways. No one categorization is possible.

In lines 207-211 of the Works and Days is contained in pictorialized form the famous precursor of the arguments of Gorgias and Thrasymachus in Plato:

Δαιμονίη, τὶ λέληκας; ἔχει νὰ σε πολλὸν ἀρείων τῆ δ' εἶς ἡ σ' ἄν ἐγώ περ ἄγω καὶ ἀοιδὸν ἐοῦσανδεῖπνον δ', αἴκ' ἐθέλω, ποιήσομαι ἠὲ μεθήσω. ἄφρων δ', ὅς κ' ἐθέλη πρὸς κρείσσονας ἀντιφερίζειν νίκης τε στέρεται πρὸς τ' αἴσχεσιν ἄλγεα πάσχει. Ὠς ἔφατ', ἀκυπέτης ἴρηξ, τανυσίπτερος ὄρνις.

The hawk calls the nightingale $\delta a \mu o v i \eta$. The problem here is what does $\delta a \mu o v i \eta$ really mean? Evelyn - White translates it as "miserable thing"; Hays says¹³, $\delta a \mu o v i \eta$ means fool rather than wretch;" others have other views. Mazon approaches closest perhaps with "possessed", "fey". The nightingale is certainly possessed of something, namely a $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ but the $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is not clear at first glance. The further reading of the passage indicates the context. The $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ implied is a $\kappa a \kappa \delta c \delta a i \mu \omega v$ under the cir-

cumstances, one that now brings her on the verge of annihilation now, at the mercy of the $i\rho\eta\xi$. The nightingale is physically inferior to the hawk. It could seem that the hawk attribute the nightingale's position to her bad lot $(\kappa\alpha\kappa\delta\varsigma\ \delta\alphai\mu\omega\nu)$ by calling her $\delta\alpha\mu\nu\nu$.

The adjective εὐδαίμων occurs only once in Hesiod and that once in the Works and Days, at the very end of the poem in the final description of the days: (Il. 826-828)

τάων εὐδαίμων τε καὶ ὅλβιος, ὅς τάδε πάντα εἰδὼς ἐργάζηται ἀναίτιος ἀθανάτοισιν, ὅρνιθας κρίνων καὶ ὑπερβασίας ἀλεείνων.

 $\varepsilon \dot{v} \delta a \dot{\iota} \mu \omega v$ is "happy", but the happiness results from having a favorable guiding $\delta a \dot{\iota} \mu \omega v$ who brings you to the realization of happiness and prosperity by helping you to know what you should know and helping you to do what you should do. He is thus your individual *genius*.

Such are the occurrences and uses of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ and its derivatives in Hesiod. Many difficulties present themselves. The rarity of the use of the word makes it difficult to generalize except to say that in a few of te rare occurrences of the word, the general sense corresponds with known meanings in Homer and elsewhere; in other cases the Hesiodic usage is unique or beyond immediate exact analysis.

In dealing with $\delta ai\mu\omega v$ in the Pre-Socratics, a number of men will be included who, though not strictly chronologically anterior to Socrates, are so in thought. Only known original fragments will be quoted. Spurious, doubtful fragments, and testimonia will be excluded. All references are to the 6th edition of Hermann Diels' references outside and preceding a parenthesis indicate the number of the testimonia (A) or the fragments (B) or the imitation (C). No references will be made in this paper to (A) or (C); only to (B). The numbers inside the parenthesis of the reference indicate volume, page, and line number respectively.

The occurrence of $\delta \alpha i\mu\omega v$ and related words, is, of course, limited in the Pre-Socratics by the limits imposed upon us by the fragmentary nature of the evidence. In spite of the lack of full texts, the frequency in the fragments is noteworty. It is possible to draw up three separate categories for the use and meaning of the word $\delta a i\mu\omega v$ on the basis of the genuine fragments that are in our possession. The collection of citations below will reinforce the validity of these three categories. They are: (1) in its most important Homeric sense as Divine Power, Divinity; (2) in the sense of ghost or spirit (This meaning will need further clarification); (3) in the sense of lot, fate, destiny. The following citations will include all genuine references to $\delta a i\mu\omega v$ that are known to us, with occasional others.

The first category of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ for the Pre-Socratics must be subdivided into two subdivisions of a different nature: (A) Divine Power; (B) references to specific deity like Homeric category (1).

The first fragment to be cited for category I (A) is from Heracleitus B79 (I. 169.1):

ανήρ νήπιος ήκουσε πρός δαίμονος δκωσπερ παῖς πρός ανδρός.

A comparison is here made: "a man is called foolish (childish) compared with divinity, just as a boy compared with a man". The fragment is distinctly proverbial and $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is here Divine Power. The common denominator in the analogy is power in its widest and most comprehensive sense. There is a doubtful fragment assigned to Heracleitus (?) B 128 (I. 180 ll) in which Heracleitus is pictured as reprimanding the Greeks for praying to the unresponsive statues of gods. The word used for gods in this doubtful fragment is $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ which must equal $\theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$ for Divinity (Numen) as such cannot be worshipped in the form of a statue: $\delta \tau i \delta H \rho a \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \tau o \varsigma \delta \rho \omega v \tau o \delta \varsigma E \lambda \lambda \eta v a \varsigma \gamma \epsilon \rho a \tau o \delta \varsigma \delta a i \mu o \sigma v \delta a i \nu o \delta$

In Parmenides' hexameter poem Περὶ Φύσεως in the Prologue, we have a reference to $\delta a i\mu o v \epsilon \varsigma$ (B 1.3 (I. 228.19)). Parmenides speaks in epic language of the mares which carried him as far as he wanted with the goddesses directing his way along the resounding road. The goddesses ($\delta a i\mu o v \epsilon \varsigma$) are later clearly explained as daughters of the Sun. They raise some doubts as to their being called $\theta \epsilon o i$ even though they are daughters of Helios. The clear thing about a $\theta \epsilon o i$ is that he is worshipped; Helios was only worshipped on the island of Rhodes. Whether his daughters even were is another question. Be that as it may, in this passage from Parmenides $\delta a i\mu o v \epsilon \varsigma = H \lambda i \delta \delta \epsilon \varsigma \kappa o i \rho a i$.

ἵπποι ταὶ με φέρουσιν, ὅσον τ' ἐπὶ θυμὸς ἱκάνοι πέμπον, ἐπεὶ μ' ἐς ὁδὸν βῆσαν πολύφημον ἄγουσαι δαίμονες, ῆ κατὰ πάντ' ἄστη φέρει εἰδότα φῶτα τῆ φερόμην· τῆ γάρ με πολύφραστοι ἵπποι ἄρμα τιταίνουσαι, κοῦραι δι' ὁδὸν ἡγεμόνευον ἄζων δ' ἐν χνοίησιν ἵει σύριγγος ἀυτὴν αἰθόμενος (δοιοῖς γὰρ ἐπείγετο δινωτοῖσιν κύκλοις ἀμφοτέρωθεν), ὅτε σπερχοίατο πέμπειν Ἡλιάδες κοῦραι, προλιποῦσαι δώματα Νυκτός, εἰς φάος, ἀσάμενοι κράτων ἄπο γερσὶ καλύπτρας.

Again in Parmenides B 12.3 (I. 243.2) we run into $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ this time in the sense of a Divine Power who governs everything. Parmenides here speaks of the narrower rings that where filled with unmixed fire and that next to them was night, but that a portion of flame rushes between. In the centre of these coms our $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ who seems responsible for generation and mating:

αί γὰρ στεινότεραι πλῆντο πυρὸς ἀκρήτοιο αί δ' ἐπὶ ταῖς νυκτός, μετὰ δὲ φλογὸς ἵεται αἶσα ἐν δὲ μέσω τούτων δαίμων ῆ πάντα κυβερνᾳ... πάντα γὰρ (ῆ) στυγεροῖο τόκου καὶ μίζιος ἄρχει πέμπουσ' ἄρσενι θῆλυ μιγῆντο τ' ἐναντίον αὐτῆς ἄρσεν θηλυτέρω.

In Empedocles B. 59 I (I. 333.21), the Empedoclean $\varphi \iota \lambda i \eta$ and $v \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \kappa o \varsigma$ are described as $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ in their commingling:

αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ κατὰ μεῖζον ἐμίσγετο δαίμονι δαίμων, ταῦτά τε συμπίπτεσκον, ὅπη συνέκυρσεν ἕκαστα ἄλλα τε πρὸς τοῖς πολλὰ... διηνεκῆ ἐζεγένοντο.

Thus here $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is used by Empedocles for his personified deities of Love and Strife. Category 1 (B).

Again in Empedocles B 126 (I. 362. 7), $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ reappears in its female form clothing (the soul) in the unfamilliar tunic of the flesh. $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ here appears to refer to another Empedoclean personified deity of goddess:

σαρκῶν ἀλλογνῶτι περιστέλλουσι χιτῶνι

Finally, for category number (1), Thrasymachus of Chalcedon may be briefly cited. Thrasymachus was active in the latter half of the 5th century. In his work Περὶ Πολιτείας B l (II. 322.8), he speaks to the "good old days" when men kept silent unless circumstances compelled them to speak and when the older men correctly supervised the state. But in Thrasymachus' day, Divine Providence has so advanced matters that an individual must suffer the consequences brought about by the rulers whom he has to obey; and since these dire effects are not deeds of Divinity or Heaven, one must speak:

έπειδη δ' εἰς τοσοῦτον ήμᾶς ἀνέθετο χρόνων ὁ δαίμων, ὥστε (ἐτέρων μὲν ἀρχόντων) τῆς πόλεως ἀκούειν, τὰς δὲ συμφορὰς (πάσχειν) αὐτούς, καὶ τούτων τὰ μέγιστα μη θεῶν ἔργα εἶναι μηδὲ τῆς τύχης, ἀλλὰ τῶν ἐπιμεληθέντων..

Here $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ simply denotes generalized Divine Power.

In the famous fragment of *Critias B* 25.17 (II. 387. II), the sophist describes the rise of conventions as man-made to preserve law and order, but possessing no absolute validity in themselves. Generalized divinity ($\tau \partial v \ \delta \alpha i \mu o v$) is one of these "police" conventions.

The second classification on $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ in the Pre-Socratics is based wholly upon its occurrence in the fragments of Empedocles. Only two fragments can be quoted. In the first, $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ is the form taken on by a god when he foolishly pollutes himself with bloodshed and swears falsely. He is made to wander all over the world, being born throughout this time (thrice ten thousand seasons) into all sorts of mortal shapes, suffering all sorts of terrible ordeals. The wandering $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v \varepsilon \zeta$ reminds one of Hesiod, but what a difference between the wandering $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ of Hesiod's Golden Age and Empedocles' erring "ghosts" of erring gods. The fragment is from KA Θ APMOI:

ἔστιν 'Ανάγκης χρῆμα, θεῶν ψήφισμα παλαιόν, ἀίδιον, πλατέεσι κατεσφρηγισμένον ὅρκοις

εὖτε τις ἀμπλακίησι φόνω φίλα γυῖα μιήνη, (νείκει θ') ὅς κ(ε) ἐπίορκον ἀμαρτήσας ἐπομόσση, δαίμονες οἴτε μακραίωνος λελάχασι βίοιο, τρὶς μιν μυρίας ὥρας ἀπὸ μακάρων ἀλαλῆσθαι φυομένους παντοῖα διὰ χρόνου εἴδεα θνητῶν ἀργαλέας μὲν γὰρ σφε μένος πόντονδε διώκει, πόντος δ' ἐς χθονὸς οὖδας ἀπέπτυσε, γαῖα δ' ἐς αὐγὰς ἤελίου φαέθοντος, ὁ δ' αἰθέρος ἔμβαλε δίναις ἄλλος δ' ἐξ ἄλλου δέχεται, στυγέουσι δὲ πάντες τῶν καὶ ἐγὰ νῦν εἰμι, φυγὰς θεόθεν καὶ ἀλήτης, νείκεϊ μαινομένω πίσυνος.

So much for the "ghost" or "spirit" classifcation.

The third classification entails the meaning "lot", "fate", "destiny". The most famous one in this category is probably Heracleitus B 119 (I. 177. 6): ἦθος ἀνθρώπω δαίμων. The ethos of man is his lot, destiny, familiar spirit. This saying is paralleled by Epicharmus B. 17 (I. 201.13) who supplies mone information: ὁ τρόπος ἀνθρώποισι δαίμων ἀγαθός, οἶς δὲ καὶ κακός. "Character for man is good destiny, but for some men bad also". There is a good as well as a bad daimon. There are a pair of doubts in Democritus which belong under this heading. They also serve to illustrate the derivatives εὐδαιμονία and κακοδαιμονίη. These fragments are B 170 and 171 (II. 179.2): εὐδαιμονίη ψυχῆς καὶ κακοδαιμονίη (170) εὐδαιμονίη οὐκ ἐν βοσκήμασιν οἰκεῖ οὐδὲ ἐν χρυσῷ ψυχὴ οἰκητήριον (cf. Heracleitus B 119).

Antiphon the Sophist, in a fragment on the advisability and vicissitudes of marriage uses $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$ in the Homeric sense of $\pi \delta \tau \mu \omega \varsigma$. Antiphon explains his $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$ by $\pi \delta \tau \mu \omega \varsigma$ — what marriage can turn into: B 49 (II. 357.15) ΠΕΡΙ ΟΜΟΝΟΙΑΣ, φέρε $\delta \eta$ προελθέτω $\delta \beta i \omega \varsigma$ εἰς τὸ πρόσθεν καὶ γάμων, καὶ γυναικὸς ἐπιθυμησάτω. αὕτη ή ήμέρα, αὕτη ή νὺξ καινοῦ δαίμονος ἄρχει, καινοῦ πότμου μέγας γὰρ ἀγὰν γάμος ἀνθρώπω.

So much for δαίμων in the Pre-Socratics εὐδαιμονία, εὐδαιμονικός, εὐδαίμων and the opposite κακοδαιμονέστερος (Democritus B. 45 (II. 156.2). δ ἀδικῶν τοῦ ἀδικουμένου κακοδαιμονέστερος occur in limited frequency. An examination of the fragments in which these are used would indicate that they involve what in Latin is called felicitas and felix, "happiness", "prosperity", "happy", "prosperous" Thus, there is no unusual mystery about εὐδαίμων in what we have of the Pre-Socratics.

Thus, we conclude our swift survey of $\delta ai\mu\omega v$ in Homer, Hesiod, and the Pre-Socratics. We have seen that it is a word of great fluidity and range, a word that very often defies strict categorization. The three different uses in Homer were neatly outlined but often merge one into the other; the Hesiodic cannot be generally categorized since each surviving use is distinct within Hesiod though corresponding in a few instances to

Homeric examples; the Pre-Socratic material, limited though it is, affords us uses of some of them completely distinct from anything in their predecessors, though certain general comparisons may by made. *Daimon* expresses a wide range of meanings, from a specified god clearly known and described to an unknown, unspecified, depersonalized, divine power of great potency.

II

In the second part of this paper, it remains for us to consider whatever occurrences remain to us the word $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ and its derivatives in the Greek Elegiac, Lyric, and Iambic poets and also in Pindar. We shall begin by investigating the texts of the Lyric Poets as contained in the three lovely fascicles of the Teubner Library (1949-1952), Anthologia Lyrica Graeca¹⁵.

An examination of the three fascicles of the Anthologia Lyrica Graeca reveals the very limited frequency of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ in what we have in the writers of poetry of this period. The poet who makes the most frequent use of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is Theognis and his use varies.

The first occurrence of $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ is in IAMBOI 24 (36-37) ll. 4-5 [Solon]

μήτηρ μεγίστη δαιμόνων 'Ολυμπίων ἄριστα, Γῆ μέλαινα

Here Earth is called the very great mother of the Olympians.

Phocylides in one instance only uses the word $\delta di\mu ov \epsilon \zeta$ to indicate that there are various undefined powers among men which save men from impending disaster. There are good and bad $\delta a i\mu ov \epsilon \zeta$:

16 (15) ἀλλ' ἄρα δαίμονές εἰσι ἐπ' ἀνδράσιν ἄλλοτε ἄλλοι οῖ μὲν ἐπερχομένου κακοῦ ἀνέρας ἐκλύσασθαι

In the so-called *Epigrammata* of Plato, 32 (16) we notice a use of the word $\delta v \sigma \delta a i \mu \omega v$;

ή γαρ έγωγε δυσδαίμων ές εμην υβριν εκαρποφόρουν.

In Theognis, In Elegy I, we come upon a more fruitful field for $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ There are eight occurrences of the word in Theognis, more than in any other poet contained in Ernst Diehl's edition of Anthologia Lyrica Graec.. The first occurrence in II. 149-150 refers to $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ in the sense of a powerful Divine Power which distributes gifts to mankind: the $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ gives material possessions to the wicked, but the gifts of $d \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ come only upon a few:

Χρήματα μὲν δαίμων καὶ παγκάκφ ἀνδρὶ δίδωσιν Κύρν' ἀρετῆς δ' ὀλίγοις ἀνδράσι μοῖρ' ἔπεται.

In lines 165-166, Theognis tells us that no man is without a $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ whether he be rich or poor, bad or good. Here was see $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ as man's presiding deity, so to speak, his lot or destiny:

οὐδεὶς ἀνθρώπων οὕτ' ὅλβιος οὕτε πενιχρὸς οὕτε κακὸς νόσωιν δαίμονος οὕτ' ἀγαθός.

In lines 381-382 of the same elegy of Theognis we have $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ in the sense of Fortune or Luck. Theognis has just addressed Zeus in marvel at his great honor and power. Zeus knows and mind of every man alive. Zeus' power is very great $(\sigma \partial v \ \delta \hat{e} \ \kappa \rho \acute{a} \tau o \varsigma \pi \acute{a} v \tau \omega v \ \check{e} \sigma \theta' \ \check{v} \pi a \tau o v \ \beta a \sigma \imath \lambda \varepsilon \check{v})$.

Theognis cannot see how Zeus could possibly consider the just and the wicked in the same light. Then comes the section with $\delta a i \mu \omega v$:

ουδέ τι κεκριμένον πρός δαίμονός έστι βροτοῖσιν ουδ' όδὸν ἥντιν' ἰὼν ἀθανάτοισιν ἄδοι.

Fortune is not responsible and yet the wicked prosper.

Lines 401-406 warn that one should not be overeager in anything; due measure is best in all human works; often a man hastens after $d\rho\epsilon\tau\eta$ in his pursuit of profit, only to be led astray into some great wrongdoing by Good Fortune $(\delta ai\mu\omega v)$ which easily makes what is evil seem good, and what is good evil:

Μηδὲν ἄγαν σπεύδειν· καιρὸς ο' ἐπὶ πᾶσιν ἄριστος ἔργμασιν ἀνθρώπων· πολλάκι δ' εἰς ἀρετὴν σπεύδει ἀνὴρ κέρδος διζήμενος, ὅντινα δαίμων πρόφρων εἰς μεγάλην ἀμπλακίην παράγει καὶ οἱ ἔθηκε δοκεῖν, ἄ μὲν ἦ κακά, ταῦτ' ἀγάθ' εἶναι εὐμαρέως, ἄ δ' ἄν ἦ χρήσιμα, ταῦτα κακά.

Theognis personifies Hope and Risk in II. 637-638, saying that are similar, both difficult $\delta \alpha i \mu o \nu \epsilon \varsigma$. There are good and bad $\delta \alpha i \mu o \nu \epsilon \varsigma$. One has to be careful to distinguish between them. The line of demarcation is not always clear:

Έλπὶς καὶ κίνδυνος ἐν ἀνθρώποισν δμοῖοι οὖτοι γὰρ χαλεποὶ δαίμονες ἀμφότεροι.

We now take a look at the first occurrence of the derivative $\varepsilon \vartheta \delta a i \mu \omega v$ in Theognis. $\varepsilon \vartheta \delta a i \mu \omega v$ is here closely associated with the gods $(\theta \varepsilon o i \zeta)$. The only $d \rho \varepsilon \tau \eta$ that Theognis desires is to be beloved of the immortal gods. If he can achieve this, he would be $\varepsilon \vartheta \delta a i \mu \omega v$ ("happy"):

Εὐδαίμων εἴην καὶ θεοῖς φίλος ἀθανάτοισιν, Κύρν' ἀρετῆς δ' ἄλλης οὐδεμιῆς ἔραμαι.

In the next instance of $\varepsilon v \delta a i \mu \omega v$ Theognis explains what he means by saying that he is blessed and happy and fortunate who goes down into the black house of Hades troubleless and before he has cowered before his enemy and transgressed by necessity or tested the loyalty of his friends. $\varepsilon v \delta a i \mu \omega v \tau \varepsilon \kappa a i \delta \lambda \beta v \sigma \zeta$ are paired. We saw this pairing occurring first in Hesiod's Works and Days (826): (Il. X013-1016 - Theognis: Elegy I).

"Α μάκαρ εὐδαίμων τε καὶ ὅλβιος, ὅστις ἄπειρος ἄθλων εἰς 'Αίδεω δῶμα μέλαν κατέβη, πρὶν τ' ἐχθροὺς πτῆζαι καὶ ὑπερβῆναι περ' ἀνάγκη ἐζετάσαι τε φίλους, ὄντιν' ἔχουσι νόον.

In one very specific reference, $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is used by Theognis to indicate specific reference, $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is justed by Theognis to indicate Ganymede's position among the Olympians. Here Theognis praises pederasty and cites Zeus' love for Ganymede, who seized Ganymede, brought him to Mount Olympus, and made him a coop-bearer. Thus, Theognis justifies his own actions and feelings to Simonides: (Il. 1345-1350)

Παιδοφιλεῖν δέ τι τερπνόν, ἐπεί ποτε καὶ Γανυμήδους ἤρατο καὶ Κρονίδης, ἀθανάτων βασιλεύς, ἀρπάζας δ' ἐς Ὁλυμπον ἀνήγαγε καὶ μιν ἔθηκεν δαίμονα παιδείης ἄνθος ἔχοντ' ἐρατόν. οὅτω μὴ θαύμαζε, Σιμωνίδη, οὅνεκα κἀγὼ ἐξεδάην καλοῦ παιδὸς ἔρωτ' δαμείς.

This last example exhausts the occurrences of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ in Theognis.

In Archilochus, the word $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ occurs only once and in a very specific context. It is in the fragment that refers to the Lelantine War between Chalcis and Eretria (c. 790 B.C.) in which they agreed not to use missile weapons. $\delta a i \mu o v \epsilon \zeta$ is used in connection with the "masters of Euboea" who are masters in this type of warfare. $\delta a i \mu o v \epsilon \zeta$ here apparently = $\delta a i \mu o v \epsilon \zeta$ [3 (3)]:

Οὕ τοι πόλλ' ἐπὶ τόζα τανύσσεται οὐδὲ θαμειαὶ σφενδόναι, εὖτ' ἄν δὴ μῶλον Ἄρης συνάγη ἐν πεδίφ· ζιφέων δὲ πολύστονον ἔσσεται ἔργον ταύτης γὰρ κεῖνοι δαίμονές εἰσι μάχης δεσπόται Εὐβοίης δουρικλυτοί.

There are a few more instances of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ contained in Diehl's edition, such as IAMBOI - TPIMETPA 45 (95) $\tau i c$ $\delta \rho a \delta a i \mu \omega v$ $\kappa a i \tau i c \omega v$ $\delta a i c \omega v$

Here δαίμων seems to indicate a θε∂ς of some kind. The MEΛIAMBOI contain the word twice. ¹⁶

When discussing Pindar¹⁷, we are reading on more film are more extensively preserved ground. Pindar makes extensive use of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ and its derivatives. He uses the

word in a variety of senses. Three primary senses may be distinguished: (1) with reference to a particular god or an unnamed god; (2) in reference to a Divine Power (numen) governing human affairs, now favorably, now unfavorably, and consequently; (3) now takes on the meaning of fate, lot or fortune, good or bad.

The adjectival form $\delta a \mu \dot{o} \nu i \dot{o} \dot{c}$ is used in the meaning of "pertaining to a god or given by a god; consequently, singular or extraordinary". In *Nemean* IX 27, Pindar speaks of the mighty power of Zeus and his submission of Amphiaraos. "For when the terror cometh of heanven, then flee even the sons of gods". ἐν γὰρ δαιμονίοισι φόβοις φεύγοντι καὶ παίδες θεῶν.

μονία γεγάμεν εὔχειρα, instead of θεία μοίρα γεγονέναι.

There is a great abundance of $\delta ai\mu\omega v$ in Pindar and therefore it will be necessary to select a few examples to illustrate the categories illustrated above. The treatment of Pindar does not purport to be exhaustive, but rather suggestiv. In Olympian VI. 46: we have $a\dot{v}\dot{r}\dot{o}v$ $\delta a\mu\dot{o}v\omega v$ $\beta ov\lambda a\tilde{i}\sigma iv$ $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\psi avto$ $\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\kappa ovt\epsilon\varsigma$.

We are told of the birth of Iamos from Euadne and the god Apollo. When Iamos was born, through the counsel of the Gods ($\delta a \mu \dot{\phi} v \omega v \beta o \nu \lambda a \tilde{i} \sigma i v$) two bright-eyed serpents nursed and fed him with the harmless venom (i.e., honey) on the bee. Here the $\delta a i \mu o v \epsilon \zeta$ are clearly $\theta \epsilon o i$ but unnamed. An example of a god who is named and is called $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ is found in Olympian VII. 39: $\varphi a v \sigma i \mu \beta \rho \sigma \tau o \zeta \delta a i \mu \omega v \Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i v \delta a \zeta$. He is Hyperion the got that giveth light to men.

In Pindar, a δαίμων may be the Divine Power governing or determining human affairs howsoever it will. cf. Pythian X. 103 γλυκὺ δ' ἀνθρώπων τέλος ἀρχά τε δαίμονος ὀρνύντος αὄζεται. In Olympian IX. 28, Divine Power assigns valor and wisdom to men: ἀγαθοὶ δὲ καὶ σοφοὶ κατὰ δαίμον ἄνδρες ἐγένοντ'.

In Pythian III, Pindar concludes: "Small will J be among the small, and great among the great. Whatever $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ follow me, I will work therewith, and wield it as my power shall suffice. If God should offer me wealth and ease, I hope that I should first have won high honor to be in the times afar off". (II. 107-111)

σμικρὸς ἐν σμικροῖς, μέγας ἐν μεγάλοις ἔσσομαι. τὸν δ' ἀμφέποντ' αἰεὶ φρασὶν δαίμον' ἀσκήσω κατ' ἐμὰν θεραπεύων μαχανάν. εἰ δέ μοι πλοῦτον θεὸς ἀβρὸν ὀρέξαι, ἐλπίδ' ἔχω κλέος εὐρέσθαι κεν ύψηλὸν πρόσω.

Here $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ appears almost in the sense of one's "guardian angel". It has divine origin, and is powerful.

It is the lot of all to die says Pindar in *Isthmian* VI (VII), although our $\delta\alpha\dot{\mu}\nu\varepsilon\varepsilon$ may be different. "If any lift up his eye to look upon things afar off, yet is he too weak to attain unto the bronze-paved dewlling of the gods". Here Pindar again reminds us not to strive to be gods; it is not our destiny: (II. 40-45)

ότι τερπνον ἐπάμερον διώκων ἕκαλος ἔπειμι γῆρας ἔς τε τὸν μόρσιμον αἰῶνα. Θνάσκομεν γὰρ ὁμῶς ἄπαντες· δαίμων δ' ἄϊσος τὰ μακρὰ δ' εἴ τις παπταίνει, βραχὺς ἐξικέσθαι χαλκόπεδον θεῶν ἔδραν

Iv Pythian III, Koronis, though she had slept with the god Apollo and had his seed within her, dared to sleep with a stranger from Arcadia also. When Apollo got wind of the affair, he was terribly angry and contrived to destroy her. She perished and so did many of her neighbors, though Apollo saved the child from her. Pindar used $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$ here in the sense of doom, bad luck, that came upon Koronis and others: (Il. 34-36) $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu \delta$ ετερος / εἰς κακὸν τρέψαις εδαμάσσατό νιν, καὶ γειτόνων / πολλοὶ ἐπαῦρον, ἀμᾶ δ' ξφθαρεν.

In Olympian XIII. 105 we have an example of $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ in the sense of luck, fortune, destiny: (II. 104-107)

εί δὲ δαίμων γενέθλιος ἔρποι, Δὶ τοῦτ' Ἐνυαλίφ τ' ἐκδώσομεν πράσσειν.

So much for $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ in Pindar. $\epsilon v \delta a \mu o v i a$ and $\epsilon v \delta a i \mu \omega v$ present no spectacular problems. They generally correspond to the Latin *felicitas* and *felix* respectively.

III

The dramatists, Aeschylus, Sophocles, and Euripides, probably make more extensive use of $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ and its derivatives than any other body of classical literature¹⁸. That this should be the case is not strange in view of the nature of the tragic drama. In contrast to the relatively limited occurrence of *daimon* in the literature of the previous centuries (with the possible exception of Homer), the appearance of *daimon* in the tragedians is at first overwhelming. Nevertheless, though no exhaustively complete picture will be attempted. The general outlines of the main uses can be made out and supported by selected illustrations.

Three main senses may be distinguished in the first great tragedian, Aescylus. They are: (1) $\theta \varepsilon o i$ and $\delta \alpha i \mu o \nu \varepsilon \zeta$ may be used interchangeably or $\delta \alpha i \mu o \nu \varepsilon \zeta$ may indicate inferiority of position to the $\theta \varepsilon o i$. No less frequent is the word employed to signify either specific gods or all the gods in general or simply the gods; (2) In the plural, it may be used of all or several of the gods; (3) very frequently, it refers to the Divine Power

(numen) upon whose will the lot or destiny of human beings depends, whether it be a good or an evil one.

In the Septem contra Thebas, we have an example in which Ares is specifically referred to as a daimon. This occurs in the first chorus of the play in which the chorus appeals to Ares to oversee and protect his own land: (II. 103-107)

```
κτύπον δέδοικα· πάταγος οὐχ ένὸς δορός. τὶ ρέζεις; προδώσεις, παλαίχθων Άρης, τὰν τεὰν; ἰω χρυσοπήληζ δαῖμον, ἔπιδ' ἔπι-δε πόλιν, ἄν ποτ' εὐφιλήταν ἔθου.
```

Thus here $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ simply equals $\theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$.

In the XOHΦOPOI in Electra's dialogue with the chorus, in pouring libations at her father's tomb, she is instructed as to the procedure to be followed. The chorus tells her to name herself first and all that hate Aegisthus, then to remember poor Orestes. Next she is to remember the authors of her father's destruction. In reply to Electra's question as to what she should do next, the chorus instructs her to pray that some god or man may come to avenge them for Agamemnon's death: (Il. 118-121)

```
'Ηλ. τὶ φῶ; δίδασκ' ἄπειρον ἐζηγουμένη.
Χο. ἐλθεῖν τιν' αὐτοῖς δαίμον' ἤ βροτῶν τινα
'Ηλ. πότερα δικαστὴν ἤ δικηφόρον λέγεις;
Χο. ἀπλῶς τι φράζουσ', ὅστις ἀνταποκτενεῖ.
```

Here again some god is refered to; he is called indefinitely a $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ but is quite clearly a $\theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$. In the *Persians* of Aeschylus, the ghost of Darius who was powerful in life is powerful in death, and consequently must be heeded. The Persians pay their respects to Darius, powerful though dead, by pouring libations and through worship. Aeschylus uses the word to describe Darius in this situation. Atossa who has seen the ghost of Darius, appeals to the Persians to make the proper chants and libations to the dead, and summon forth the $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ of Darius while she makes offerings to the nether gods: (Il. 619-622).

```
άλλ', ὧ φίλοι, χοαῖσι ταῖσδε νερτέρων 

ὕμνοις ἐπευφημεῖτε, τὸν τε δαίμονα 

Δαρεῖον ἀνακαλεῖσθε, γαπότους δ' ἐγὼ 

τιμὰς προπέμψω τάσδε νερτέροις θεοῖς.
```

The chorus bids Atossa to pour the libations to the earth, while they in solemn chant implore the graciousness of the conductors of the dead beneath the earth. The gods below the earth are called $\delta a i \mu o \nu \epsilon \zeta$ as Darius is called a $\delta a i \mu o \nu \epsilon \zeta$ (II. 628-646)

άλλά, χθόνιοι δαίμονες άγνοί, Γῆ τε καὶ Έρμῆ, βασιλεῦ τ' ἐνέρων, πέμψατ' ἔνερθεν ψυχὴν ἐς φῶς· εἰ γάρ τι κακῶν ἄκος οἶδε πλέον, μόνος ἄν θρήνων πέρας εἴποι.

[στρ. α

η 'ρ' αίει μου μακαρίτας ἰσοδαίμων βασιλεὺς βάρ βαρα σαφηνη ἱέντος τὰ παναίολ' αἰανη δύσθροα βάγματα; παντάλαν· ἄχη διαβοάσω; νέρθεν ἀρα κλύει μου; ἀλλὰ σύ μοι, Γᾶ τε καὶ ἄλλοι χθονίων ἀγεμόνες δαίμονα μεγαυχη ἰόντ' αἰνέσατ' ἐκ δόμων, Περσᾶν Σουσιγενη θεόνπέμπετε δ' ἄνω οἰον οὔπω Περσῖς αἶ' ἐκάλυψεν.

Here $\delta a i\mu o v \epsilon \zeta$ are divinities below the earth and Darius who is also below the earth also gets called a $\delta a i\mu \omega v$.

We have stated above that $\delta a i \mu o v \epsilon \zeta$ may be used in the plural of several gods. An instance of this is found in line 85 of the *Prometheus Vinctus* where kratos taunts Prometheus with his etymology (II. 85-87)

ψευδωνύμως σε δαίμονες Προμηθέα καλούσιν αὐτὸν γὰρ σε δεῖ προμηθέως ότω τρόπω τῆσδ' ἐκκυλισθήση τέχνης. δαίμονες = θεοί

Aeschylus' third category of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ uses the term with reference to the numen upon whose will the lot or destiny of human beings depends, be it good or evil. In this category occur the following selected instances. Agamemnon 1569 $\delta a i \mu o v \tau \tilde{\phi}$ Πλεισθενιδῶν ὅρκους θεμένη.

Of good fortune: Persians 158: εἴτι μὴ δαίμων παλαιὸς νῦν μεθέστηκε στρατῷ. Of the author of evils: Septem 705: δαίμων λήματος ἐν τροπαίᾳ χρονίᾳ μεταλλακτός. Persians 345 ὧδε δαίμων τις κατέφθειρε στρατόν, Persians 354: φανείς ἀλάστωρ ἤ κακὸς δαίμων πόθεν. Agamemnon 1660 δαίμονες χηλῆ βαρείᾳ δυστυχῶς πεπληγμένοι. The vocative occurs most conveniently for poetry:

Persians 472: ὧ στυγνὲ δαῖμον; Agamemnon 1469 δαῖμον, ὅς ἐμπίτνεις δώμασι.

The words $\delta \alpha i \mu o v \dot{\alpha} \omega$, $\delta \alpha i \mu \dot{o} v i o \varsigma$ and $\epsilon i \dot{\delta} \alpha i \mu \omega v$ also appear in Aeschylus. The verb $\delta \alpha i \mu o v \dot{\alpha} \omega$ is found in the Septem 1001: $i \dot{\omega} i \dot{\omega}$, $\delta \alpha i \mu o v \dot{\omega} v \dot{\tau}$ ev $\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ and in the Choephoroe

566: δαιμονᾶ δόμος κακοῖς in which δαίμων is the controlling element. The verb would then mean "to be held by an evil daimon. δαιμόνιος indicates something sent by a daimon. Septem 892: αἰαῖ δαιμόνιοι, αἰαῖ δ' ἀντιφόνων (ἐκ) θανάτων ἀραί. Persians 581: δαίμονι ἄχη. εὐδαίμων means "happy" in Aeschylus. Cf. Persians 768: Κῦρος, εὐδαίμων, ἀνήρ; Agamemnon 530: ἄναξ 'Ατρείδης πρέσβυς εὐδαίμων ἀνήρ.

So much for our general survey of *daimon* in Aeschylus. Let us now proceed to the most classical of the classical tragedians, Sophocles.

Sophocles employs $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ and its derivative forms profusely. An entire book could be written on the subtleties of the word daimon in the tragedies of Sophocles alone. Here only certain general classifications can be indicated. Again, the general categories become clear: (1) $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ in Sophocles may be used of any god or of a certain god; in the plural it may be used of the gods generally; (2) daimon also has the meaning of numen powerful in determining the fortunes of men. This numen may be good or bad; (3) finally, it may be equivalent to $\tau \delta$ $\theta \epsilon i \omega v$.

Let us take a quick glance at some examples that would illustrate the preceding categories. At one point in the Oedipus at Colonus, the chorus extols the greatness of Athens and refers to the various gifts bestowed upon the city. Among other things, the chorus expresses its pride in the might of the horse and the might of the sea for which it thanks Poseidon.

Sophocles here uses the word $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ to refer to Poseidon: (II. 707-715)

άλλον δ' αίνον έχω ματροπόλει τᾶδε κράτιστον, δῶρον τοῦ μεγάλου δαίμονος, εἰπεῖν, χθονὸς αὕχημα μέγιστον, εὕιππον, εὕπωλον, εὐθάλασσον. ὧ παῖ Κρόνου, σὐ γὰρ νιν εἰς τὸδ' εἶσας αὕχημ', ἄναζ Ποσειδᾶν, ἵπποισιν τὸν ἀκεστῆρα χαλινὸν πρώταισι ταῖσδε κτίσας ἀγυιαῖς.

In the *Philoctetes*, in Philoctetes's last remarks is contained the notice that his voyage be speeded to the land where be borne by great Moira and the god at whose decree all was commanded and (where would be) brought to pass: (ll. 1464-1468)

χαῖρ', ὧ Λήμνου πέδον ἀμφίαλον, καὶ μ' εὐπλοία πέμψον ἀμέμπτως, ἔνθ' ἡ μεγάλη Μοῖρα κομίζει γνώμη τε φίλων χώ πανδαμάτωρ δαίμων, ὅς ταῦτ' ἐπέκρανεν

The use of the plural of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ with reference to the gods generally is clearly seen in the *Oedipus Tyrannus* when Jocasta prepared to visit the shrines of the gods. She says: (ll. 911-913)

χώρας ἄνακτες, δόξα μοι παρεστάθη ναοὺς ἰκέσθαι δαιμόνων, τάδ' ἐν χεροῖν στέφη λαβούση κἀπιθυμιάματα.

In the same play, Oedipus bewails his situation after the revelation and his self-blinding. No sights can now ever bring him joy: (ll. 1377-1383)

οὐ δῆτα τοῖς γ' ἐμοῖσιν ὀφθαλμοῖς ποτε·
οὐδ' ἄστυ γ' οὐδὲ πύργος οὐδὲ δαιμόνων
ἀγάλματα ἱερά, τῶν ὁ παντλήμων ἐγὼ
κάλλιστ' ἀνὴρ εἶς ἔν γε ταῖς Θήβαις τραφεὶς
ἀπεστέρησ' ἐμαυτόν, αὐτὸς ἐννέπων
ἀθεῖν ἄπαντας τὸν ἀσεβῆ, τὸν ἐκ θεῶν
φανέντ' ἄναγνον καὶ γένους τοῦ Λαΐου

Here again, the status of the gods ($\delta \alpha \mu \dot{\phi} v \omega v$) refers to $\theta \varepsilon o i$.

The second Sophoclean category employs $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ to indicate a powerful Divine Agency which determines the good or bad fortunes of men. In his final speech in the *Oedipus Tyrannus*, addresses Creon and softens because his daughters have been brought to him in one of the tenderest scenes in all Greek literature. Oedipus wishes Creon well and that $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ Divine Providence, may deal with him kindlier than it has dealt with him: (II. 1478-1479)

άλλ' εὐτυχοίης, καὶ σε τῆσδε τῆς όδοῦ δαίμων ἄμεινον ἤ με φρουρήσας τύχοι.

In the *Electra* of Sophocles, Chrysothemis tells her sister of the discovery of a lock of Orestes' hair at the paternal tomb. She concludes that it was Orestes himself who made the offering, and bids her sister to take courage for never does $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ run one unbroken course: 1. 917: $\tau o i \zeta$ $a \partial \tau o i i i$ $\sigma i / o \partial \chi$ $a \partial \tau o i i$ $\delta a \mu \phi v \omega \pi a \rho a \sigma \tau a \tau e i$. Two examples may be sited briefly in which the *daimon* is clearly unfavorable: (1) *Oedipus Tyrannus* 828: $d\pi' \ddot{\omega} \mu o v \delta a i \mu o v o o$. Oedipus gives a biographical sketch of himself in a long speech (8 771-833) before the terrible evidence is to be brought before him. If these terrible things are true about him, Oedipus says that this is the handiwork of some inhuman power $(d\pi' \dot{\omega} \mu o v \delta a i \mu o v o c)$ (2) *Electra* 1156-1157: $\delta \delta v \sigma \tau v v \gamma i c \delta \delta u \omega v \delta \sigma i c \kappa a \mu o c)$ or restes (unknown to Electra as such) brings his "ashes" to Electra who laments over his loss and refers to her $\delta a i \mu o v$ as well as his as being a $\delta v \sigma \tau v v \gamma i c \delta a i \mu \omega v$.

As ἄπαζ λεγόμενον occurs in Sophocles with $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ as its root and that is $\varepsilon v \delta a \iota \mu \omega v \varepsilon \omega$ in the Antigone: ή τυραννὶς πολλὰ τ' ἄλλ' $\varepsilon v \delta a \iota \mu \omega v \varepsilon \widetilde{\varepsilon}$ (l. 506). It means "is happy, fortunate". $\varepsilon v \delta a \iota \mu \omega v \widetilde{\zeta} \omega$ and $\varepsilon v \delta a \widetilde{\iota} \mu \omega v$ occur with "happy" as the basic element in their meaning.

Euripides uses *daimon* liberally, but he poses a special problem because of his peculiar reaction toward the gods and his (at times) severe ctiticism of them. Euripides' plays are in a real sense a "discussion club".

Euripides himself reflects an intellectual revolution and daimon is included in that process. The various categories that have been noted in the other tragedians and poets will occur in Euripides also. There will be no attempt to repeat these outlines here, but perhaps the most frequent meaning in Euripides is that of Luck or Fortune, good or bad:

Trojans 103: πλεῖ κατὰ πορθμόν, πλεῖ κατὰ δαίμονα

Alcestis 561: πῶς οὖν ἔκρυπτες τὸν παρόντα δαίμονα;

Alcestis 931: φίλοι, γυναικός δαίμον' εὐτυχέστερον

τούμοῦ νομίζω, καίπερ οὐ δοκοῦνθ' ὅμως.

The daimon in Euripides may refer to one's lot. In Hippolytus 99 (as in Iliad III) it refers to a specific goddess, Aphrodite: πωζ οὖν σὺ σεμνὴν δαίμον' οὐ προσεννέπεις. It may be used to refer to one's "shades" or manes as in the Alcestis of Alcestis (l. 1003): καὶ τις δοχμίαν κέλευθον ἐμβαίνων, τόδ' ἐρεῖ, Αὕτα ποτὲ προῦθαν' ἀνδρός, νῦν δ' ἐστὶ μάκαιρα δαίμων.

The familiar Euripidean rendering of the end of a play offers us an example of $\delta \alpha u \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \dot{\rho}$ in the sense of "works of Divinity" which are closely associated with $\theta \epsilon o i$:

πολλαὶ μορφαὶ τῶν δαιμονίων, πολλὰ δ' ἀέλπτως κραίνουσι θεα καὶ τὰ δοκηθέντ' οὐκ ἐτελέσθη, τῶν δ' ἀδοκήτων πόρεν ηὖρε θεός, τοιόνδ' ἀπέβη τόδε πρᾶγμα.

IV

The use of $\delta ai\mu\omega v$ in the historians, Herodotus and Thucydides, reflects somewhat the standards of their respective historical methods. Herodotus would not hesitate to assign a divine cause to an historical or non-historical event; Thucydides' strict interpretaion of the philosophy of history wouldn't even think of the idea. Thucydides' mention of the word *daimon* is rare; not so of Herodotus.

The Herodotean usage falls into three main headings: (1) It may refer simply to the divinity or a deity as in VI. 12: τ iνα δαιμόνων παραβάντος; I. 86 εἴ τὶς μιν δαιμόνων ρύσεται; III. 119. εὐδαίμων ἐθέλοι. So also, it may be used of a goddess, ἡ δαίμων as it is used in II. 40 to refer to Isis: τὴν μεγίστην δαίμονα ῆγηνται. (2) It may be employed to signify a hero as in IX. 76: οὕτε δαιμόνων οὕτε θεῶν ὅπιν ἔχειν; (3) or it may indicate fortune or chance: I. 111. τότε κως κατὰ δαίμονα τίκτει.

εὐδαίμων clearly means "rich" or "prosperous" in Herodotus. Cf. V. 31 νήσφ μεγάλη καὶ εὐδαίμονι and VIII. III. ai 'Αθῆναι μεγάλαι τε καὶ εὐδαίμονες.

The adjectival $\delta \alpha i \mu \delta v i o \varsigma$ is used mostly of address, expressing either respect or reproach. Cf. VII. 48, IV. 126, VIII. 84. But $\delta \alpha i \mu o v i \eta \tau i \varsigma \delta \rho \mu \eta$ refers to a divine impulse (VII. 18).

Thucydides is definitely more restricted and more sparing in his use of the term $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ and its derivatives. $\delta \alpha i \mu \dot{\phi} v i \alpha$ is found in one of the most significant parts of the whole of Thucydides' work, that is, following the Funera! Oration, in Book II, chapter 64, in Pericles' speech on the naval greatness of Athens: "But you must not be seduced by citizens like these or angry with me - who, if I voted for war, only did as you did yourselves — in spite of the enemy having invaded your country and done what you could be certain that he would do, if you refused to comply with his demands; and although besides what we counted for, the plague has come upon us - the only point indeed at which our calculation has been at fault. It is this, I know, that has had a large share in making me (more) unpopular than I should —. Otherwise have been - quite undeservedly, unless you are also prepared to give me the credit of any success with which chance may present you. Besides, the hand of heaven must be borne with resignation, πολεμίων ἀνδρείως). Thucydides puts this in the mouth of Pericles. The Athenians must endure the things that cannot be averted, matters which are beyond their human control, which are of such as nature as must be tolerated ($\delta a \mu \delta v a$) necessarily; the enemy can be resisted with bravery.

In Book IV, chapter 97, δαίμων is used in the plural with reference to Apollo and the deities: ὅστε ὑπέρ τε τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ ἐαυτῶν Βοιωτούς, ἐπικαλούμενος τοὺς ὁμωχέτας δαίμονας καὶ τὸν ᾿Απόλλω, προαγορεύειν αὐτοὺς ἐκ τοῦ ἱεροῦ ἀπιόντας ἀποφέρεσθαι τὰ σφέτερα αὐτῶν.

Thus, strictly speaking, $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ occurs only once as such in the whole of Thucydides and is there equivalent to $\theta \varepsilon o i$. This is very noteworthy. We may now indicate the limited use of $\varepsilon v \delta a i \mu \omega v$ and its forms in Thucydides: (1) $\varepsilon v \delta a \iota \mu \omega v \eta \sigma a v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ - VIII 24. Χῖοι γὰρ μόνοι μετὰ Λακεδαιμονίους ὧν εγὼ ἠσθόμην ευδαιμονήσαντες τε ἄμα καὶ ἐσωφρόνησαν, καὶ ὅσφ ἐπεδίδου ἡ πόλις αὐτοῖς ἐπὶ τὸ μεῖζον, τόσφ δὲ καὶ ἐκοσμοῦντο ἐχυρώτερον.

In dealing with the recovery of Lesbos and the defeat of the Chians, Thucydides pays the Chians a compliment for knowing how to be wise in prosperity and ordering their city the more securely the greater it grew. (2) εὐδαιμονία III. 39. This word is used to indicate physical prosperity. Prosperity was not enough to dissuade them from affronting danger: καὶ κακοπραγίαν ὡς εἰπεῖν ρᾶον ἀπωθοῦνται ἡ εὐδαιμονίαν διασώζονται.

- (3) εὐδαιμονία occurs once more only in Thucydides, denoting general prosperity. book II. 97: τῶν γὰρ ἐν τῆ Εὐρώπη ὅσαι μεταξὺ τοῦ Ἰονίου κόλπου καὶ τοῦ Εὐζείνου πόντου μεγίστη ἐγένετο χρημάτων προσόδω καὶ τῆ ἄλλη εὐδαιμονία
- (4) In the Periclean Funeral Oration, Book II, chapter 43, τὸ εὔδαιμον is equated to τὸ ἐλεύθερον and this can be brougt about by the crushing of the ennemy: οῦς νῦν ὑμεῖς ζηλώσαντες καὶ τὸ εὕδαιμον τὸ ἐλεύθερον, τὸ δὲ ἐλεύθερον τὸ εὕψυχον κρίναντες μὴ περιορᾶσθε τοὺς πολεμικοὺς κινδύνους.
- (5) Chapter 53, Book II, included in the description of the plague, tells us how those who previously had nothing succeeded suddenly to the property of the prosperous: The εὐδαίμονες ράον γὰρ ἐτόλμα τις ἄ πρότερον ἀπεκρύπτετο μὴ καθ' ἡδονὴν ποιεῖν ἀγχίστροφον τὴν μεταβολὴν ὁρῶντες τῶν τε εὐδαιμόνων καὶ αἰφνιδίως θνησκόντων καὶ

τῶν οὐδέν πρότερον κεκτημένων, εὐθὺς δε τἀκείνων ἐχόντων.

(6) Finally, in Book I, chapter 6 we have actually the first occurrence of εὐδαίμων in the so-called ἀρχαιολογία where we encounter the meaning, "the rich", "the materially prosperous": καὶ οἱ πρεσβύτεροι αὐτοῖς τῶν εὐδαιμόνων διὰ τὸ ἀβροδίαιτον οὐ πολὺς χρόνος ἐπειδὴ χιτῶνάς τε λινοῦς ἐπαύσαντο φοροῦντες...

Thus, we see the remarkable rarity of the word $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ in Thucydides and that $\epsilon \dot{v} \delta a i \mu \omega v$ when used in a few cases, involves the idea of material prosperity.

V

This general survey of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ should serve to indicate rather roughly and swiftly the tremendous range and scope of the topic under discussion. This survey demonstrates the power and fluidity of the word $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ from its specific reference to a specific god or goddess ($\theta \epsilon \delta \varsigma$) to an uspecified, unnamed Divine Power, to one's individual destiny or lot, good or bad, with many variations and mergings. The frequency, use, meaning, and importance of the word $\delta a i \mu \omega v$ in the authors mentioned above were meant to be indicated in this survey. It is characteristic of the modern conceptual mind to categorize and classify meanings. Undoubtedly, to the Greek mind, the word and the idea had a fluidity and range greater than possibly can be understood by the modern age, und certainly the Greeks did not stop to categorize, whenever they made use of the word, at least not consciously.

ΠΕΡΙΛΗΨΙΣ

Ο δαίμων είς την κλασσικήν Έλληνικήν Λογοτεχνίαν

Εἰς τὴν παροῦσαν μελέτην ὁ συγγραφεὺς προβαίνει εἰς γενικὴν ἐξέτασιν τῆς ἐννοίας δαίμων καὶ τῶν παραγώγων της δαιμονικὸς καὶ εὐδαίμων εἰς τὴν κλασσικὴν Ἑλλην. Λογοτεχνίαν - "Ομηρον, 'Ησίοδον, Προσωκρατικούς, Λυρικούς, Πίνδαρον, 'Ηρόδοτον καὶ Θουκυδίδην. καθορίζει τὴν ἔκτασιν τῶν σημασιῶν τῆς ἐννοίας καὶ ταξινομεῖ τὴν χρῆσιν τῆς λέξεως καὶ τὴν συχνότητα τῶν ἀποχρώσεων τοῦ νοήματος τῶν σημασιῶν της, διαπιστώνει δὲ μίαν εὐρυτέραν ῥευστότητα καὶ ποικιλίαν τῆς ἐννοίας ἤ ὄσον θὰ ἠδύνατο νὰ φαντασθῆ ὁ σημερινὸς ἄνθρωπος.

NOTES

- 1. θεὲ doesn't occur until Hellenistic times, and, of course, frequently in the Christian vocabulary.
- 2. $\delta a i \mu o \nu \epsilon \zeta$ is used in the plural in Modern Greek also, as well as with the meaning "evil spirits", 'devils".

- 3. Compare Martin P. Nilsson's article on daimon in the Oxford Classical Dictionary; Martin P. Nilsson, A History of Greek Religion, trans. F. J. Fielden, Oxford 1925. p.p. 165-168; M. P. Nilsson, A.R.W. XII. (1924) 363 ff.; Geschichte d. griech. Religion I. 20lff; H. J. Rose, H.T.R. XXVIII (1935), p. 243; the article on daimon in the 3d supplement of Pauly-Wissowa (267-322).
- 4. See also Friedrich Pfister's article on $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega v$ in the 7th supplement of Pauly-Wissowa: Real Encyclopädie, as well as the regular article.
- 5. For numen, see H. H. Rose, "Numen and Mana", Harvard Theological Review XLIV 1951, pp. 109-120. On page 109, Rose tells us that "numen signifies a superhuman force, impersonal in itself but regularly belonging to a person (a god of some kind)..." On p. 110, we are informed that genius is the common Latin translation of $\delta a i \mu \omega v$.
- 6. Cratylus 398 v: τοῦτο τοίνυν παντὸς μᾶλλον λέγει, ὡς ἐμοὶ δοκεῖ, τοὺς δαίμονας ὅτι φρόνιμοι καὶ δαήμονες ἦσαν, δαίμονας αὐτοὺς ὡνόμασεν καὶ ἔν γε τῆ ἀρχαία τῆ ἡμετέρα φωνῆ αὐτὸ συμβαίνει τ' ὄνομα.
- 7. Both genitives occur, though the most familiar is certainly larum. Cf. Emile Boisacq, *Dictionnaire Etymologique de la langue Grecque*, 4 ed., Heidelberg, 1950. p. 162.
 - 8. This is an important observation.
 - 9. Cf. Richard J. Cunliffe, Lexicon of the Homeric Dialect, London, 1924.
 - 10. I would not.
 - 11. Cf. the Homeric Hymn to Approdite, Il. 218-255.
- 12. The readings of the text would vary with the editor. Though Mazon would reject ll. 124-125, I would keep them as is.
 - 13. Heber Michel Hays, Notes on the Works and Days of Hesiod, Chicago, 1918, p. 106.
- 14. εὐδαίμων: Heracleitus B 4 (I 151. 9); Gorgias B. 10 ((II. 287.24); εὐδαιμονικός: Anaxarchus B l (II. 239.21).
 - 15. The third edition edited by Ernst Diehl.
- 16. In Ernst Diehl's third edition $\delta aiμονες$ (p. 143) and $\delta aiμων$ (p. 144). I mention here also the oc currences in the *XPYΣA ΕΠΗ* of Pseudo-Pythagoras in Diehl: p. 82 l. 3; p. 84 l. 17; p. 89 l. 62; p. 99 l. 101 for records' sake.
- 17. It is interesting to note that a widely used book like John H. Finley, jr.'s *Pindar and Aeschylus* (Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1955). contains no discussion of *daimon* in Pindar or in Aeschylus.
- 18. There have been many excellent books published on Greek drama over the past thirty years. One that has been, recently reissued is Hugh Lloyd-Jones, *The Justice of Zeus* (Revised Edition: Berkeley, Los Angeles, and London, 1983). *Daimon* in Herodotus is metioned on pp. 64, 84, and 150; in Aeschylus on no page; in Sophocles on p. 162; and in Euripides on p. 149. My own study of *draimon* should be considered preliminary and tentative but aready begins to give some idea of the magnitude and the importance of the subject for ancient Greek literature and religion.